| Approved For Release | 2004/10/28 : | CIA-RDP80M01066A00 | 01100020012-7 | 354-75 |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|--------|
| | | | | |

Executive Registry

18 February 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Director

SUBJECT

25X1

25X1

25X1

Interagency Memorandum: Turkey After the US Arms Cutoff

| was cabled yesterday to is in today and tomorrow and I expect to get further rem | who, as good fortune has it, will be forwarding comments harks from others. If you have a would profit from your reaction as | 25X1 |
|--|--|------|
| 2. We are planning to p | lace an inventory which was prepared recently | 25X1 |
| by the IC Staff, at Annex. We where the intelligence faciliti | are also preparing a map to show | |
| | ate is Friday; if we meet that D carry portions of the memorandum | |
| | | 25X1 |
| . · · · · | Acting National Intelligence Officer for Western Europe | |
| CC: DDC1 | | |

D/DCI/NIO ER V NIOWE

Approved For Release 2004/10/28 : CIA-RDP80M01066A001100020012-7

Approved Fee Release 2004/40/21: CIA-RDP80M01066A001100020012-7

18 February 1975

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Interagency Memorandum: Turkey After the US Arms Cutoff

2. Please review the new draft at your earliest convenience and let us have your views by telephone by 1500 on 19 February. We will then decide whether another meeting is needed. Our conference room has been reserved for Thursday morning, in case it is.

Acting National Intelligence Officer for Western Europe

25X1

25X1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|------|--|----------------------|
| | NOTE | i |
| | PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS | 1 |
| I. | TURKEY'S DEPENDENCE ON US MILITARY EQUIPMENT. | 3 |
| | Impact of US Aid Cutoff | 4 |
| | Spare Parts | 4 |
| | Interpretation of Force Modernization Program | 5 |
| II. | OTHER SOURCES OF SUPPLY | 5 |
| | Reliance on Domestic Production | 7 |
| III. | WHEN THE TURKS REACT | 13 |
| | Impact on US-Turkish Relations Turkey, Europe, and NATO Relations Between Turkey and Greece Turkey and Cyprus Looking Around For Friends | . 15 . 17 . 18 |
| | | |

| _ | | 1/ | 4 |
|----|---|----|---|
| ٠, | " | ·× | 1 |
| | | | |

| Approved Per Release 2004/10/28 CHARPP80M01066A001100020012- | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| j | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

18 February 1975

Draft Interagency Memorandum

Subject: Turkey After the US Arms Cutoff

NOTE

It is too early to predict with much confidence precisely how Turkey will behave in reaction to the cutoff of US military aid. Indeed, it seems clear that the Turks themselves are just beginning to address the problem, largely because -- until now -- the prospect of such a cutoff has been for them something unthinkable.

As Turkey searches for a way out of the dilemma, however, we can identify some of the factors that will weigh heavily in Turkish calculations. hese factors may provide useful clues with respect to the options open to Ankara and to how these impinge on US interests. The degree of Turkish dependence on US arms aid, the likely outcome of the inevitable search for alternate sources of military equipment, and the durability of Turkey's present orientation toward the West are some of the issues addressed in what follows.

For purposes of this paper, an indefinite cutoff of US military assistance is assumed.

| Approved | For Release 2004/10/28 ECA RDP80M01066A00110002001 | 2-7 |
|----------|--|-----|
| | | |

25X1

THE PRINCIPAL CONCLUSIONS

The Turks have no satisfactory alternative to US supply of arms, at least over the near term. Hence, the effectiveness of the Turkish armed forces and their ability to perform their key role in NATO have been weakened. At the same time, the cutoff of US arms aid will act as a constraint on Turkey to avoid provoking the Greeks in such a way as to risk involvement in a protracted military conflict.

It is highly likely that the Turks will retaliate against the US --

This could

seriously weaken the ability of US forces to operate in the area and jeopardize key intelligence collection programs.

Turkey's ties with NATO will also be damaged, but probably not as seriously as Turkish relations with the US. For at least the short term, much will depend on whether Turkey is successful in obtaining military equipment from other NATO countries. If the latter are able to help Ankara in this way, moderate forces in Turkey will be strengthened and the country's political and economic ties to Western Europe will probably remain strong.

If, on the other hand, the Turks conclude that their basic military needs cannot be met by their European allies, Ankara

| Approved | Release 2004/10/28 ECIA RDP80M01066A0011000200 |)12-7 |
|----------|--|-------|
| | | |

25X1

will seek alternative sources of arms and arms financing in Middle Eastern countries and perhaps the USSR. These alternatives would have serious drawbacks for the Turks. The Turkish military, in particular, would be most reluctant to take a definitive turn away from Europe and to make Turkey dependent on the Arabs, Iran, or the Soviets for arms.

Next 17 Page(s) In Document Exempt